## RE: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Comments

Elizabeth Allen to: POULSEN Mike

08/15/2011 08:40 AM

I've spent a little bit of time this morning skimming through the "Bioaccumulation Modeling Report," a.k.a. the food-web model for deriving the sediment PRGs, and learned two things. They modeled metabolism of DDT in fish, and they also modeled DDx. So the argument that got thrown in my face at the meeting in Portland that they didn't model DDx doesn't seem, if you'll pardon the pun, to hold water at this point.

From: POULSEN Mike < POULSEN.Mike@deq.state.or.us>

To: Elizabeth Allen/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 08/15/2011 08:22 AM

Subject: RE: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Comments

```
I guess so.
- Mike
----Original Message----
From: Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Allen.Elizabeth@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2011 7:41 AM
To: POULSEN Mike
Subject: Fw: Alternatives for FS development - Directed Comments
Well, I think our DDx concerns just got resolved ;-)
```